UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

DIVISION OF CORPORATION FINANCE

```
May 9, 2019
```

By E-Mail

Aneliya S. Crawford, Esq. Schulte Roth & Zabel LLP 919 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022

> Re: MiMedx Group, Inc. Soliciting Materials filed pursuant to Rule 14a-12 on May 2,

2019

George

File No. 001-35887

Dear Ms. Crawford:

We have reviewed your filing and have the following comments.

Filed by Parker H. Petit, David J. Furstenberg, and Shawn P.

1. Please provide us support for your statement that "[e]xecutive management disagreed

with [the] decision" to restate its revenues for the years 2012-2016.

2. You state that the announcement of the termination of certain individuals from the company for cause has created a "false impression" that those

individuals "committed some kind of malfeasance at the company..." and that "[n]othing could

be further from the truth." Please provide us supplemental support for these

statements.

3. You state that "If the company's revenues were accounted for incorrectly, then ultimate responsibility for that shortcoming lies squarely with the Audit

Committee..." Please revise your disclosure to clarify why management would not have been responsible for

any accounting issues.

4. Please provide support for your assertions about Mr. Dewberry's ongoing training about GAAP, SEC interpretations of GAAP rules and their impact on the

company's accounting practices.

5. Please provide us supplemental support for your assertion that "Management proactively

informed the Audit Committee on a regular basis about the timing and quantity of

distributor orders, accounts receivable and its aging or DSOs."

6. Please provide us supplemental support for your assertion that Cherry Bekaert issued unqualified audit reports on MiMedx's financial statements and its

systems of internal controls over financial reporting.

7. Refer to your disclosure that "there was a publication of an email written by one or more Aneliya S. Crawford, Esq. Schulte Roth & Zabel LLP May 9, 2019 Page 2

persons falsely claiming to be an anonymous MiMedx employee" (emphasis in original). Please provide support for your assertion that the author of the referenced email "falsely" claimed to be a company employee. 8. Please provide us support for your assertion that Mr. Cohodes conducted an "illegal short-and-distort campaign..." Please provide us support for your stated belief that the audit committee 9 "has been advised that the `standard playbook' for boards of directors seeking to placate government investigators is to conduct an internal investigation, identify individual `wrongdoers,' dismiss them, enact other `remedial' measures, and then declare that there is nothing more the government needs to do." 10. Note that you must avoid issuing statements that directly or indirectly impugn the character, integrity or personal reputation or make charges of illegal, improper or immoral conduct without factual foundation. Provide us supplementally, or disclose, the factual foundation for the assertions referenced above. In this regard, note that the factual foundation for such assertion must be reasonable. Refer to Rule 14a-9. Please provide support for the following disclosure: "The Audit Committee's desire to protect itself overwhelmed its business judgment and led it to recommend actions that were unwarranted, unnecessary, made no business sense, and badly damaged the Company. The Committee's decision was also self-interested inasmuch as it created opportunities for certain committee members and other managers to take on elevated roles." "Also, executive management believes that the development and acceptance of the KPMG `opinion' on restatement was pushed by the Audit Committee for their own personal reasons in June, 2018. Very specifically, to deflect any concerns over revenue recognition to executive management rather than the Audit Committee. Once that recommendation was accepted by the Board, then they could point to `for cause' terminations of certain financial managers and later executive management. Thus, a `takeover' began of the Board and Company by a few inexperienced Board members using the investigation as a manipulative tool. The subsequent business decisions have been disastrous and very damaging to the Company and its shareholders."

Please direct any questions to me at (202) 551-3619.

Sincerely,

/s/ Daniel F.

Daniel F. Duchovny Special Counsel Office of Mergers

Duchovny

and Acquisitions